REMARKS

Claims 1-8 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 8 are amended.

Claim 1 has been amended to correct certain typographical errors with respect to antecedent basis. No narrowing amendments are intended. Claim 1 has also been amended to clarify the transmitting action.

Claim 4 has been amended to clarify that at least two of the different Web pages are hosted by different servers. No new matter has been added.

Claims 5, 7 and 8 have been amended to element the multiple claim dependency. No narrowing amendments are intended.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections

Claims 1-6 stand rejected in the Office Action as being obvious over Applicants' Admitted Prior Art (APA) relating to the NetGratus iframe/layer implementation of remote procedure calls and the Goodman reference. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

It is respectfully submitted that a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been established in the Office Action. Specifically, there is no teaching or suggestion or motivation in the cited references for the purported combination. Without the benefit of hindsight of the present invention, there is nothing that would teach or suggest to a person of ordinary skill in the art that a solution to the limitations presented by the NetGratus iframe/layer implement of RPC's would be to utilize a first and second HTML element in the manner as claimed.

Claim 1 has been amended to specifically recite that the transmitting action occurs from the main window of the at least one Web page. As the APA used iframe or layer techniques that

Application No. 09/916,253

could only be invoked from subwindows within a main window, the APA does not meet this

limitation and there is nothing in the Goodman reference which would teach or suggest

modifying the iframe/layer technique that was limited to subwindows.

With respect to dependent claim 4, it is respectfully submitted that the argument that the

APA teaches transmitting and receiving actions from within different Web pages is incorrect. As

described in paragraph [0019] of the application, there is a security barrier for subwindow

applications like iframe/layer that prevents these windows from originating on different servers

associated with different Web pages at least two of which are hosted by different servers.

In light of the amendments and arguments set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that

the present application is in condition for notice of allowance. If the Examiner believes that it

would be helpful to advance the prosecution of this case, the Examiner is invited to telephone the

undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Brad Pedersen

Registration No. 32,432

Customer No. 24113

Patterson, Thuente, Skaar & Christensen, P.A.

4800 IDS Center

80 South 8th Street

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2100

Telephone: (612) 349-5774

5